Viscous inflation

Luca Visinelli*
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bologna, Via Irnerio 46 40126 Bologna, Italy and
On leave to: NORDITA, KTH Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
(Dated: April 14, 2016)

We show that the inclusion of viscosity into the equation of motion for the inflaton field might lead to a constant Hubble rate expansion, thus to a period of inflation. The dynamics only involves a kinetic term and does not require an external potential for the inflaton to slow-roll. The primordial scale-invariant power spectrum follows from the equation of motion, and the relevant cosmological parameters are computed from the model.

arXiv:1604.03873v1 [gr-qc] 12 Apr 2016

INTRODUCTION

Inflation [1–7]) provides a mechanism for explaining the observed flatness, homogeneity, and the lack of relic monopoles in the early Universe [8–12], and for generating the inhomogeneities observed in the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR) [13–16]. Realistic microphysical models of inflation, in which the expansion of the universe is governed by the energy density of the inflaton field φ, require the inflaton potential $U = U(\varphi)$ to be approximately flat in order to explain the anisotropies observed in the CMBR [17].

During inflation, a non-equilibrium viscous term acting as a negative pressure might naturally arise through either the inter-particle interactions [18, 19] or the decay of particles within the fluid [20–23, 27]. Cosmological dissipative processes may be described by the relativistic theory of viscosity [24–26, 28], discussed both within the theory of "ordinary" inflation [24–37], warm inflation [38–43], or the present observed accelerated expansion of the Universe [44–47]. Earlier work include Refs. [48–51]. Most of the past literature use phenomenological forms for the bulk viscosity, while computations from first principles are carried out in Refs. [40–42, 45]. There is some debate wether bulk viscosity pressure can drive inflation. Hiscock and Salmonson [26] showed that the inflationary stage cannot be supported in a Boltzmann gas, whereas the results in Refs. [27–34] answer positively to the question if equations of state different from the perfect fluid form are used instead. Attempts to drive inflations through viscous terms only has been addressed in Ref. [21–23], but see also Ref. [24] for critics to such models. The inclusion of viscosity in one- and two-fluid models has been discussed in Refs. [52, 53].

In this paper, we show that the inclusion of a phenomenological viscous term acting as a negative pressure leads to the same invariant spectrum as what obtained in the ordinary inflationary theory. We do not include an external potential, and we describe results in terms of observables.

COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS DURING INFLATION

A fluid of energy density ρ and pressure p satisfies the energy conservation condition

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(p+\rho). \tag{1}$$

The Hubble rate H and its time derivative $\dot{H}$ are given in terms of the energy density as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{H} = -4\pi G\,(p+\rho)\,, \tag{2}$$

where the expression for $\dot{H}$ follows from using Eq. (1). Inflation is achieved whenever the dynamics of the inflaton field leads to a constant value of H, or

$$|\dot{H}| \ll H^2. \tag{3}$$

When the energy density and pressure fields are expanded in a first-order perturbation series as

$$\rho \to \rho + \delta\rho \quad \text{and} \quad p \to p + \delta p,$$

the first-order perturbation terms follow the expressions

$$\delta\dot{\rho} + 3H\,(\delta\rho + \delta p) - 3\,(p+\rho)\,\dot{\Psi} + \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\,(p+\rho)\,\delta u = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{\Psi} + H\,\Psi + 4\pi G\,(p+\rho)\,\delta u = 0, \tag{5}$$

where Ψ is the perturbation in the gravitational field and δu is the total covariant velocity perturbation. Assuming a scale of inflation $H_I \ll M_{\rm Pl}$ allows us to neglect the perturbations in the gravitational field in Eq. (4), or

$$\delta\dot{\rho} + 3H\,(\delta\rho + \delta p) + \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\,(p+\rho)\,\delta u = 0. \tag{6}$$

ORDINARY INFLATION MODEL

In the ordinary theory of inflation [1–16], the action describing the evolution of a single real scalar field φ

under the arbitrary potential $U = U(\varphi)$ and minimally coupled to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$S = \int d^4x\,\sqrt{-g}\,\left[-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\mu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\nu} - U(\varphi)\right], \qquad (7)$$

where $g \equiv \mathrm{Det}\, g_{\mu\nu}$. In the following we consider the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\left(1, -a^2(t), -a^2(t), -a^2(t)\right),$$

where t is the cosmic time and $a = a(t)$ the scale factor. From the action S follows the energy-momentum tensor

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\mu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\,\left[\frac{g^{\sigma\rho}}{2}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\sigma}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\rho} - U\right], \qquad (8)$$

with the energy density and pressure of the fluid associated to $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$ given respectively by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\mu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\nu} + U, \quad p = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\mu}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\nu} - U. \qquad (9)$$

Expanding the inflaton field in a background and a perturbation as

$$\varphi(x) = \phi(x) + \delta\phi(x), \qquad (10)$$

and using the definitions in Eq. (9), the expression in Eq. (1) for the conservation of energy gives the equation of motion for the field,

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\,\dot{\phi} + U_\phi = 0, \qquad (11)$$

In scalar field theories, perturbations in the mode expansion $\delta\phi_k$ of the field operator,

$$\delta\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\,\left[\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}\,\delta\phi_k\,e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \mathrm{h.c.}\right], \qquad (12)$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_k + 3H\,\delta\dot{\phi}_k + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + U_{\phi\phi}\right)\,\delta\phi_k = 0. \qquad (13)$$

During inflation, the Hubble rate in Eq. (2) is approximately constant due to the flatness of the potential U and the fact that the kinetic term is negligible with respect to U. These conditions are equivalent to requiring that the two slow-roll parameters

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \equiv \frac{U_{\phi\phi}}{3H^2}. \qquad (14)$$

satisfy $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $|\eta| \ll 1$. The latter condition is equivalent to imposing $\ddot{\phi} \ll U_\phi$. In the ordinary cosmological theory, the slow-roll parameters in Eq. (14) read [54]

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{16\pi\,G}\,\left(\frac{U_\phi}{U}\right)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{1}{8\pi\,G}\,\frac{U_{\phi\phi}}{U}. \qquad (15)$$

Introducing the variable $z = -1/aH$, setting $\delta\phi_k = z\,\chi_k$, and neglecting $U_{\phi\phi} = 3H^2\eta \ll H^2$, Eq. (13) gives

$$\chi_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{2 + 3(\epsilon - \eta)}{z^2}\right)\,\chi_k = 0, \qquad (16)$$

whose solution (for $\epsilon = \eta = 0$) corresponding to an incoming wave and reducing to a plane-wave for $kz \gg 1$ is

$$\chi_k(z) = \frac{e^{-ik\,z}}{\sqrt{2\,k}}\,\left(1 - \frac{i}{k\,z}\right). \qquad (17)$$

The inflaton field can thus be expanded in terms of lowering and raising operators $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}}$ as

$$\hat{\chi}(z, \mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\,\left[\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}\,\chi_k(z)\,e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \mathrm{h.c.}\right]. \qquad (18)$$

During inflation ($\ddot{\phi} \ll H\,\dot{\phi}$), curvature perturbations generated on superhorizon scales are given by

$$\mathcal{R}_k = \frac{H\,\delta\rho_k}{\dot{\rho}} = \frac{U_\phi\,\delta\phi_k}{3(p+\rho)} = \frac{H\,\delta\phi_k}{\dot{\phi}}. \qquad (19)$$

The power spectrum of cosmological fluctuations is

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*) \equiv \langle|\mathcal{R}_k|^2\rangle = \frac{4\pi H^2}{|\dot{H}|}\,\Delta^2_\phi(k), \qquad (20)$$

where the fluctuations per logarithmic k range is

$$\Delta^2_\phi(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\,\frac{|\chi_k(z)|^2}{a^2}. \qquad (21)$$

In the limit where $k\,|z| \ll 1$, which corresponds to the region where the wavelength is larger than the Hubble radius, we finally obtain the scale-invariant spectrum

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \frac{G\,H^4}{\pi\,|\dot{H}|} = \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi\dot{\phi}}\right)^2. \qquad (22)$$

At the scale $k_* = 0.05\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, Planck [55] constraints the power spectrum as $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*) = (2.215^{+0.032}_{-0.079}) \times 10^{-9}$ at 68% confidence level (CL); an upper limit to the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is also set,

$$r = \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(k_*)}{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)} = \frac{16\,G\,H^2}{\pi\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)}, \qquad (23)$$

as $r \lesssim 0.11$ at 95% CL. Writing $H^2 = \pi\,\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)\,r/16G$ and $H^2 = (8\pi\,G/3)\,(U + \dot{\phi}^2/2)$, we derive

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)\,r}}{32G} = 2.2 \times 10^{31}\ \mathrm{GeV}^2\,r_{0.1}, \qquad (24)$$

$$U = \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)r(48-r)}{2048G^2} \approx \frac{3\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)r}{128G^2} = 1.2 \times 10^{65}\mathrm{GeV}^4 r_{0.1}, \qquad (25)$$

where $r_{0.1} = r/0.1$. The scalar spectrum tilt is defined as

$$n_s - 1 \equiv \left.\frac{d\ln\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)}{d\ln k}\right|_{k=k_*}, \qquad (26)$$

or, using the result in Eq. (22),

$$n_s - 1 = \frac{d}{d\ln k}\left[4\ln H - 2\ln\dot{\phi}\right]_{k=k_*} = -6\epsilon_* + 2\eta_*, \quad (27)$$

where ϵ_* and η_* are the slow-roll parameters at $k = k_*$.

VISCOUS INFLATION MODEL

So far the review. We now discuss how viscosity enters the equation for a relativistic field. A viscous term $\Pi = \Pi(t)$, possibly depending on time, may arise through either self-interaction [18, 19] or decay [20–23, 27] of the inflation field, acting as a negative pressure [38–43]

$$p \to p - \Pi. \quad (28)$$

In the FRW background, the equation of motion for the inflaton field reads

$$\dot{\varphi}\left(\ddot{\varphi} + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\varphi + U_\varphi\right) + 3H\left(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \Pi\right) = 0. \quad (29)$$

Splitting the inflaton field φ in Eq. (29) into a background and a perturbation component as in Eq. (10), and writing $\dot{\phi} = u$, the expression for the background component is obtained as

$$\dot{u} + 3H\,u + U_\phi = \frac{3H\,\Pi}{u}, \quad (30)$$

which reduces to the usual result in Eq. (11) for $\Pi = 0$. Instead, here we look for a solution to the equations of motions that leads to an inflationary period with a vanishing potential $U = 0$. We assume that viscosities are switched off whenever the momentum of the inflaton field is set to zero, or

$$\Pi = \zeta\,H = \zeta\,\lambda\,u, \quad (31)$$

where ζ is the viscosity coefficient, depending on ϕ, and $\lambda^2 = 4\pi G/3$. So,

$$\dot{u} + 3H\,u = \frac{3H^2\,\zeta}{u}. \quad (32)$$

Since $H = \lambda\,u$, and using $\dot{u} = -Hzu'$, we have

$$z\,u' - 3(u - \lambda\,\zeta) = 0,$$

whose solution, assuming that $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1(z)$, where ζ_0 is a constant and $\zeta_1(z)$ is a slowly-varying function, reads

$$u = \lambda\,\zeta_0 - 3\lambda\,z^3\int^z \frac{\zeta_1(y)}{y^4}dy \equiv \lambda\,\zeta_0 - \lambda\,\bar{\zeta}_1(z), \quad (33)$$

Using $\dot{H} = 4\pi\,G\left(\Pi - u^2\right) = 3\lambda^4\,\zeta_0\,\bar{\zeta}_1$ and introducing

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{3\bar{\zeta}_1}{\zeta_0}, \quad (34)$$

we obtain $\epsilon \ll 1$ for $\bar{\zeta}_1 \ll \zeta_0/3$. An additional condition is obtained by requiring $\dot{u} \ll 3Hu$, or

$$\beta \equiv \frac{z\,\bar{\zeta}_1'}{\zeta_0} \ll 1, \quad (35)$$

where β is a new slow-roll parameter. Inflation ends when either one of these two conditions is no longer met, at $z = z_m = \exp(N_\phi)$, where sufficient inflation requires the number of e-folds to be $N_\phi \sim 60$.

Using Eqs. (22) and (23), the scalar power spectrum and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*) = \frac{\lambda^6\,\zeta_0^3}{4\pi^2\,\bar{\zeta}_1}, \quad r = \frac{12\,\lambda^6\,\zeta_0^2}{\pi^2\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)}, \quad (36)$$

from which we obtain

$$\zeta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda^3}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)\,r}{3}} = 3.2\times10^{50}\ \mathrm{GeV}^3\,r_{0.1}^{1/2}, \quad (37)$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_1 = \frac{\pi}{96\lambda^3}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)\,r^3}{3}} = 6.6\times10^{47}\ \mathrm{GeV}^3\,r_{0.1}^{3/2}. \quad (38)$$

Notice that the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the first slow-roll parameter are related by $r = 16\epsilon$, as in the ordinary inflation model. The Hubble rate during inflation is

$$H_I = \lambda^2\,\zeta_0 = 3.7\times10^{13}\ \mathrm{GeV}\,r_{0.1}^{1/2}. \quad (39)$$

Using Eq. (6), we find that the Fourier transform of the scalar field perturbation $\delta\phi_k$ for the mode k, defined in Eq. (12), satisfies the equation

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_k + 3H\left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{u^2} - \frac{\Pi_u}{u}\right)\delta\dot{\phi}_k + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} - \frac{3H\,\Pi_\phi}{u}\right)\delta\phi_k = 0. \quad (40)$$

Since $\Pi = \zeta\lambda\,u = \Pi_u\,u$ and

$$\Pi_\phi = -\frac{H\,z\,\Pi'}{u} = \frac{H\,\lambda^2\zeta_0^2}{u}\beta,$$

Eq. (40) reads

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_k + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}_k + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} - 3H^2\,\beta\right)\delta\phi_k = 0, \quad (41)$$

which is the same expression as Eq. (13), with Π_ϕ playing the role of the potential curvature $U_{\phi\phi}$. Switching to the variable z and writing $\delta\phi_k = z\,\chi_k$, we have

$$\chi_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{2 + 3(\epsilon - \beta)}{z^2}\right)\chi_k = 0, \quad (42)$$

with solution $\chi_k = C_1\sqrt{z}H^{(1)}(\nu, z)$, where $H^{(1)}(\nu, z)$ is the Hankel function of the first kind and

$$\nu = \frac{3}{2}\left(1 + \frac{4}{3}(\epsilon - \beta)\right)^{1/2} \approx \frac{3}{2} + \epsilon - \beta.$$

The spectral tilt using Eq. (26) results in

$$n_s - 1 = -\frac{d\ln\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(k_*)}{d\ln z}\bigg|_{z=z_*} = -4\epsilon_* + 2\beta_*. \qquad (43)$$

A scale-invariant power spectrum is indeed obtained in Eq. (36), with the mild running of the power spectrum being given in Eq. (43). The viscous term is approximately constant and given by Eq. (37), with corrections obtained as in Eq. (38). Both these expressions are given in terms of the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and might thus predict a specific value of r in models where the Hubble rate during inflation has a given numerical value.

* Electronic address: Luca.Visinelli@studio.unibo.it

[1] D. Kazanas, Astrophys. J. **241**, L59 (1980).
[2] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
[3] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).
[4] K. Sato, Phys. Lett. B **99**, 66 (1981).
[5] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1981) [JETP Lett. **33**, 532 (1981)].
[6] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).
[7] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982); Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983).
[8] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215**, 203 (1992).
[9] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*, Harwood Academic, Switzerland (1990).
[10] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, Addison-Wesley (1990).
[11] L. Bergstrom and A. Goobar, *Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics*, Springer Praxis (2004).
[12] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, Oxford University Press, USA (2008).
[13] A. H. Guth and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1110 (1982).
[14] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 295 (1982).
[15] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982).
[16] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983).
[17] F. C. Adams, K. Freese and A. H. Guth, *Constraints on the scalar-field potential in inflationary models*, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 965 (1991).
[18] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading (MA) (1959).
[19] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, J. Wiley, New York (1987).
[20] Ya. B. Zeldovich, JEPT Lett. **12**, 307 (1970).
[21] J. D. Barrow, Phys. Lett. B **180**, 335 (1986).
[22] J. D. Barrow, Phys. Lett. B **187**, 12 (1987).
[23] J .D. Barrow, Nucl. Phys. B **310**, 743 (1988).
[24] T. Pacher, J. A. Stein-Schabes, and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1603 (1987).
[25] J. C. Hwang, Class. Quant. Grav. **7**, 1613 (1990).
[26] W. A. Hiscock and J. Salmonson, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3249 (1991).
[27] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. A **176**, 57 (1993).
[28] D. Pavon, J. Bafaluy, and D. Jou, Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, 347 (1991).
[29] M. Zakari and D. Jou, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 1597 (1993).
[30] R. Maartens, Class. Quantum Grav. **12**, 1455 (1995).
[31] W. Zimdahl, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5483 (1996) [astro-ph/9601189].
[32] W. Zimdahl, D. Pavon and J. Triginer, Helv. Phys. Acta **69**, 225 (1996) [arXiv:astro-ph/9608060].
[33] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 108301 (2000).
[34] R. Maartens and V. Mendez, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1937 (1997) [astro-ph/9611.205].
[35] M. Giovannini, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 5243 (2005) [astro-ph/0504655].
[36] M. Giovannini, Phys. Lett. B **622**, 349 (2005) [gr-qc/0504132].
[37] M. Cataldo and P. Mella, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 5 (2006) [hep-th/0610181].
[38] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavon, Phys. Rev. D **75** 083518 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0703604].
[39] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, D. Pavon, and J. R. Villanueva, JCAP **1008**, 002 (2010) [astro-ph/1007.0103].
[40] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera and R. O. Ramos, JCAP **1107**, 030 (2011).
[41] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R. Cerezo, R. O. Ramos, and G. S. Vicente, *Stability analysis for the background equations for inflation with dissipation and in a viscous radiation bath*, JCAP **1211**, 042 (2012) [astro-ph/1209.0712].
[42] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, I. G. Moss, and R. O. Ramos, JCAP **1405**, 004 (2014) [astro-ph/1401.1149].
[43] L. Visinelli, *Cosmological perturbations for an inflaton field coupled to radiation*, JCAP **1501**, 005 (2015) [astro-ph/1410.1187].
[44] C. Bogdanos, A. Dimitriadis, and K. Tamvakis, Phys. Rev. D **75** 087303 (2007) [hep-th/0611.094].
[45] J.-S. Gagnon and J. Lesgourgues, JCAP **1109**, 026 (2011) [astro-ph/1107.1503].
[46] I. Brevik, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **84** 103508 (2011) [hep-th/1107.4642].
[47] O. F. Piattella, J. C. Fabris. and W. Zimdahl, JCAP **1105**, 029 (2011) [astro-ph/1103.1328].
[48] Y. B. Zeldovich, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **12**, 443 (1970).
[49] G. L. Murphy, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 4231 (1973).
[50] B. L. Hu, Phys. Lett. A 90, 375 (1982).
[51] I. Waga, R. C. Falcao, and R. Chanda, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 1839 (1986).
[52] K. Bamba and S. D. Odintsov, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 18 (2016) [hep-th/1508.05451].
[53] I. Brevik and A. V. Timoshkin, JETP **149** 4, 786 (2016) [gr-qc/1509.06995].
[54] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rep. **231**, 1 (1993) [astro-ph/9303.019].
[55] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014) [astro-ph/1303.5076].